1. What review question I am asking from this text?

What is Technological Singularity, its essential features, how it is perceived, what tech is driving us to it , what would prevent it, and how much information is credible?

1. How and why are the authors making this contribution?

To attempt at cirtically evaluating claims made at what TS is , andhow different types of futurists have contrasting opinons that do not agree , and how judging TS based on current theories .

Comparing what the TS could be when compared to sci fi entries and research materials.

1. What is being claimed here regarding my question?

‘The singularity’ will apparently arise from AI reaching a, supposedly particular, but actually poorly-defined, level of sophistication;

an empowered combination of hardware and software will take it from there (and take over from us) is a poor definition of the singularity , muddles iff notions of what intelligence is, competing arguments are not based on axioms , or statements of truth. attempts at describing any ‘post-singularity’ world are almost

always limited by anthropomorphism ( human behaviours towards an object)

advances in tech do not happen in isolation **(scekptical – could have a dominoe effect, but not necessarily groups of addvancements in one go, things are built on the previous achievement)**

dominant tech dev has unseen influence on many others **(agree)**

tech dev has wider influence than merely technology **(agree)**

1. How certain and generalised are the authors claims?

a

1. How adequate is the backing of these claims?

a

1. How effectively does any theory orientation link with these claims?

A the works of aln turing agree with some statements made here,

1. To what extent does value stance affect claims?

A very wishy washy . doens define a solid soluiotn about what TS is , the impacts it could have, or the features that TS could bring

1. To what extent are claims supported or challenged by other work?

a

1. To What extent are claims consistent with my experience?

a

1. What is my summary evaluation of the text to my review question

Ano empirical evidence is provided

References are based on sci-fi fictional entries,

The sci entries used, don’t much quote the use o f the internet , so how can TS be viewed in this way , when TS is fundamentally based from internet to advance forward?

TS defined here as

Implies that that sci-fi writers and futurists have potentially different expectations of how they should ebe believed in future predictions, but neither has fundamentals evidence to support claims made here

Mainly referencing Alun turing

TS referred to as machines forming consciousness

But disputes if consciousness implies intelligence.

Very opnion based, as a lot of references are fictitious and making assumptions around them .

Using own created book as a reference in this case, which introduces bias.

Quoting the fermi paradox

Pure sepeculation of TS with the fermi paradox

Economic perspective , more people out of work then in

Eveolution is imperfect

Starts as a local-search optimisation algorithm, the objective being survival and the parameters are species characteristics. The evolutionary algorithm cannot guaretee perfections , as only looks for small change in the cariables that have abetter survival rate.

No one is viewing the same event ,